

Legislature tends to go along and not analyze or evaluate. Because you're talking about an agency of the state there might be a tendency to lend more credence to a decision that comes from them than ought to be the case. I don't think the bill is in the interest of the public. I don't think the bill is in the interest of medical discoveries or advancement of medicine that may occur. I think it is a self-serving protective bill for those agencies and groups that already are considered "legitimate" practitioners of health care services or activities. There is a lot in the bill and in the same way I've seen some things come through the Public Health and Welfare Committee that I don't think were well thought out and some of the members have acknowledged that some of those things were not well thought out, but the bill came from a board or an agency of government so the committee accepted it. We cannot trust others to sift through and do the thinking that we ought to be doing as a Legislature. Senator Lynch is on the board of an insurance company or he used to be. He used to be. So I'm sure he still has friends there. The mere fact that he comes into the Legislature doesn't break his friendships. So he might be inclined to listen to what his friends need like all of us might be inclined to do, especially if it's in an area that we don't have as much knowledge at the moment as they have. We're not in the Legislature because we're experts and authorities on the issues that come before us. We're in the Legislature because we promised by running for this office that we would seek the information necessary to inform our minds. Then we will think over that issue and employ the best judgment we can bring to bear and base our vote on that. When you have an agency or a board to whom authority is delegated to do our thinking, we're abdicating, I believe, a significant part of our responsibility.

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't think the bill is good. I don't think it is necessary and I'm going to listen to the debate, if there is any, I agree with Senator Higgins, the bill is greased, to listen to those who favor this bill, tell what dire consequences will befall the state if it is not enacted. Nothing this sweeping has been on the books to date. No necessity for it has been shown. The greatest argument that anybody can give or the strongest argument is that it will protect those interests that already are considered legitimate and acceptable. They then will be